SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS			DEFENDANTS				
DOUGLAS S. CORNWELL			NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.				
DOUGLAS S. CORNWELL			NOO MINANGIAL STOTEMS, INC.				
(b) County of Residence	County	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant					
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add	ress)	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE				
Craig Thor Kimmel, E		1	LAND INVOLVED.				
Kimmel & Silverman,	P.C.	Attorney	Attorneys (If Known)				
30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002							
(215) 540-8888							
II. BASIS OF JURISE	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)			RINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in One B	
□ 1 U.S. Government	☑ 3 Federal Question	`		IF DEF	T	and One Box for Dei	F DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This S	Citizen of This State				4 0 4
2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizen of Anoth	er State	2 🗇 2	Incorporated and P		5 🗇 5
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)				of Business In A		
		Citizen or Subject Foreign Count		3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		6 🛮 6
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	Poperation	ENTERNAL A PERF	l nav	COMPECIA	OTHER STA	Trents
CONTRACT  110 Insurance	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR		E/PENALTY		KRUPTCY al 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reappo	
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury -	☐ 620 Other Foo	d & Drug	☐ 423 Withd	rawal	☐ 410 Antitrust	
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractice Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury -		625 Drug Related Seizure 28 USC 157 of Property 21 USC 881		C 157	430 Banks and Banking     450 Commerce     460 Deportation     470 Racketeer Influenced and     Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability	☐ 630 Liquor La	☐ 630 Liquor Laws		TY RIGHTS		
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander   368 Asbestos Persona  330 Federal Employers' Injury Product		☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 8 ☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 8		rights		
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability	660 Occupation		☐ 840 Trade	mark	■ 480 Consumer Cr     ■ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER' ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	FY Safety/H  ☐ 690 Other	aith			810 Selective Ser	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	LA) ☐ 710 Fair Labo	SOR r Standarde	SOCIAL  861 HIA (	SECURITY 1395fb	☐ 850 Securities/Co Exchange	mmodities/
of Veteran's Benefits  160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage	Act		☐ 862 Black	Lung (923)	☐ 875 Customer Ch	
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability	☐ 720 Labor/Mg ☐ 730 Labor/Mg	mt. Relations	863 DIWC	C/DIWW (405(g)) Title XVI	12 USC 3410 890 Other Statuto	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	& Disclos	ure Act	☐ 865 RSI (4	105(g))	☐ 891 Agricultural.	Acts
REAL PROPERTY  ☐ 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITION  ☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacat				L TAX SUITS (U.S. Plaintiff	☐ 892 Economic Sta	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 442 Employment Sentence	☐ 791 Empl. Re	. Inc.	or De	fendant)	☐ 894 Energy Alloc	ation Act
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:  Accommodations ☐ 530 General	Security A	Act	26 US	-Third Party C 7609	☐ 895 Freedom of I	nformation
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty		RATION	1		☐ 900Appeal of Fee	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Oth Employment ☐ 550 Civil Rights	er 462 Naturaliz				Under Equal to Justice	Access
· ·	☐ 446 Amer, w/Disabilities - ☐ 555 Prison Condition	Alien Deta	inee			<ul> <li>950 Constitutional</li> <li>State Statutes</li> </ul>	
	Other  440 Other Civil Rights	465 Other Imp Actions	nigration			State Statutes	
V. ORIGIN (Place	an "X" in One Box Only)			c 1.c		Appe	al to District
	ate Court Appellate Court	A Reinstated or Reopened	anoth	ferred from er district fy)	6 Multidistr Litigation		strate
VI CAUCE OF ACTI	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you as 15 U.S.C SECTION 1692	re filing (Do not ci	e jurisdiction	al statutes ur	iless diversity):		<b>=</b>
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices Ad	ct					
VII. REQUESTED IN	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	DEMAND.	\$			if demanded in com	•
COMPLAINT:				J	JRY DEMAND:	w Yes D	No
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKE	T NUMBER		
Explanation:	1 1/	No. of Contract of	1 ,	_			
10-20-11	KILL	. The	Kin				
DATE	SIGNATURE O	FATTORNEÝ OF	RECORD	ne/			

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be assignment to appropriate calendar.	used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff: 9 Old Lanten, Norwal	C.CT 06851
	rsham, PA 19044
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:	
(Use Reverse Side For Ad	ditional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation and	/
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))	Yes No No
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes No No
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Date Terminated:
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated.
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year	r previously terminated action in this court?  Yes No
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior su action in this court?	it pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes No No
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier nu	
terminated action in this court?	Yes No No
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights	case filed by the same individual?
	Yes No No
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please
	specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
8. □ Habeas Corpus	8.   Products Liability — Asbestos
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. All other Federal Question Cases 15 U.S.C 31692 (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERTIF	
I, Craig have mme, counsel of record do hereby certify	egory)
Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and b	
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	and the second second
DATE: (0-30-11 Craya Marx Emmel	57100
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there	e has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or w	rithin one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	(-1. a.)
DATE: USU-11 LICLIA /NW KIMME!	5 1100
A torney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#

CIV. 609 (6/08)

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

# CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Douglas S. Co	rnwell		CIVIL ACTION	
NCO Financial		·ċ.	NO.	
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant s	se Management To be a copy on all defe- event that a defen- shall, with its first rties, a Case Mana	rack Designation endants. (See § 1 dant does not a appearance, subgement Track D	action Plan of this court, couns in Form in all civil cases at the ti 1:03 of the plan set forth on the re- gree with the plaintiff regarding mit to the clerk of court and ser designation Form specifying the	me of everse g said eve on
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CAS	SE MANAGEM	IENT TRACKS:	
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	brought under 28	U.S.C. § 2241 tl	nrough § 2255.	( )
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services der	requesting review sying plaintiff Soc	of a decision of ial Security Ben	the Secretary of Health efits.	( )
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	ired to be designate	ted for arbitration	n under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	( )
(d) Asbestos – Cases involv exposure to asbestos.	ing claims for pers	sonal injury or p	property damage from	( )
(e) Special Management – C commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse s management cases.)	complex and that	need special or	intense management by	( )
(f) Standard Management –	· Cases that do not	fall into any on	e of the other tracks.	X
0-30-11 Date 215-540-8888	Craig Ther Attorney-at 877-78	Kimmel -law 8-2864	Douglas S. Corn Attorney for Kimmelo creditla	well w.com
Telephone	FAX Numb	er	E-Mail Address	

(Civ. 660) 10/02

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 DOUGLAS S. CORNWELL, 4 Plaintiff 5 Case No.: v. 6 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., 7 **JURY TRIAL** Defendant 8 (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 9 **COMPLAINT** 10 DOUGLAS S. CORNWELL ("Plaintiff"), by his attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, 11 P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. ("Defendant"): 12 13 14 INTRODUCTION 15 Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 1. 16 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 17 18 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 19 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 20 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 21 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 22 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 23 3. Defendant conducts business and has an office in the Commonwealth of 24 25 Pennsylvania and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.

- 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).
- 5. Declaratory relief is available pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202.

## **PARTIES**

- 6. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Norwalk, Connecticut, 06851 at the time of the alleged harassment.
  - 7. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 8. Defendant is a national debt collection company with corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania, 19044.
- 9. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 10. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

#### PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

11. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA") is a comprehensive statute, which prohibits a catalog of activities in connection with the collection of debts by third parties.

See 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. The FDCPA imposes civil liability on any person or entity that violates its provisions, and establishes general standards of debt collector conduct, defines abuse, and provides for specific consumer rights. 15 U.S.C. § 1692k. The operative provisions of the FDCPA declare certain rights to be provided to or claimed by debtors, forbid deceitful and misleading practices, prohibit harassing and abusive tactics, and proscribe unfair or unconscionable conduct, both generally and in a specific list of disapproved practices.

20

21

23

22 24

25

- 12. In particular, the FDCPA broadly enumerates several practices considered contrary to its stated purpose, and forbids debt collectors from taking such action. substantive heart of the FDCPA lies in three broad prohibitions. First, a "debt collector may not engage in any conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692d. Second, a "debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. And third, a "debt collector may not use unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt." 15 U.S.C. § 1692f. The FDCPA is designed to protect consumers from unscrupulous collectors, whether or not there exists a valid debt, broadly prohibits unfair or unconscionable collection methods, conduct which harasses, oppresses or abuses any debtor, and any false, deceptive or misleading statements in connection with the collection of a debt.
- In enacting the FDCPA, the United States Congress found that "[t]here is 13. abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors," which "contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy." 15 U.S.C. § 1692a. Congress additionally found existing laws and procedures for redressing debt collection injuries to be inadequate to protect consumers. 15 U.S.C. § 1692b.
- Congress enacted the FDCPA to regulate the collection of consumer debts by debt 14. The express purposes of the FDCPA are to "eliminate abusive debt collection collectors. practices by debt collectors, to insure that debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses." 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 15. Beginning on or around March 1, 2011, Defendant and others it retained placed constant and continuous harassing and abusive collection calls to Plaintiff seeking and demanding payment for an alleged consumer debt.
- 16. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt Defendant was seeking to collect arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 17. Defendant harassed Plaintiff by making continuous calls to his home telephone number.
  - 18. At times, Defendant would contact Plaintiff one (1) to two (2) times a day.
- 19. Plaintiff would answer his home phone and would be hung up on and then receive an immediate call back from Defendant, which is indicative of harassing, annoying and abusive behavior.
- 20. The repetitive calls to Plaintiff were disturbing, harassing, and an invasion of privacy.
- 21. Within five days of its initial communication with Plaintiff, Defendant failed to send Plaintiff written notification of his rights to dispute the debt and/or request verification of the debt.
- 22. In addition to contacting Plaintiff continuously on his home telephone, Defendant also contacted Plaintiff at his place of employment, which was an inconvenient place for Plaintiff to receive debt collection telephone calls.
- 23. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect the alleged debt were harassing, abusive and highly deceptive.

#### CONSTRUCTION OF APPLICABLE LAW

- 24. The FDCPA is a strict liability statute. <u>Taylor v. Perrin, Landry, deLaunay & Durand</u>, 103 F.3d 1232 (5th Cir. 1997). "Because the Act imposes strict liability, a consumer need not show intentional conduct by the debt collector to be entitled to damages." <u>Russell v. Equifax A.R.S.</u>, 74 F. 3d 30 (2d Cir. 1996); <u>see also Gearing v. Check Brokerage Corp.</u>, 233 F.3d 469 (7th Cir. 2000) (holding unintentional misrepresentation of debt collector's legal status violated FDCPA); Clomon v. Jackson, 988 F. 2d 1314 (2d Cir. 1993).
- 25. The FDCPA is a remedial statute, and therefore must be construed liberally in favor of the debtor. Sprinkle v. SB&C Ltd., 472 F. Supp. 2d 1235 (W.D. Wash. 2006). The remedial nature of the FDCPA requires that courts interpret it liberally. Clark v. Capital Credit & Collection Services, Inc., 460 F. 3d 1162 (9th Cir. 2006). "Because the FDCPA, like the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) 15 U.S.C §1601 et seq., is a remedial statute, it should be construed liberally in favor of the consumer." Johnson v. Riddle, 305 F. 3d 1107 (10th Cir. 2002).
- 26. The FDCPA is to be interpreted in accordance with the "least sophisticated" consumer standard. See Jeter v. Credit Bureau, Inc., 760 F.2d 1168 (11th Cir. 1985); Graziano v. Harrison, 950 F. 2d 107 (3<sup>rd</sup> Cir. 1991); Swanson v. Southern Oregon Credit Service, Inc., 869 F.2d 1222 (9th Cir. 1988). The FDCPA was not "made for the protection of experts, but for the public that vast multitude which includes the ignorant, the unthinking, and the credulous, and the fact that a false statement may be obviously false to those who are trained and experienced does not change its character, nor take away its power to deceive others less experienced." Id. The least sophisticated consumer standard serves a dual purpose in that it

ensures protection of all consumers, even naive and trusting, against deceptive collection practices, and protects collectors against liability for bizarre or idiosyncratic interpretations of collection notices. Clomon, 988 F. 2d at 1318.

# COUNT I DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

- 27. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. Contacting Plaintiff at an unusual time or place, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692c(a)(1);
  - b. Contacting Plaintiff at his place of employment when Defendant knew or had reason to know that the consumer's employer prohibits the consumer from receiving such communication, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692c(a)(3);
  - Harassing, oppressing or abusing Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt, by calling Plaintiff repetitively, in violation of 15 U.S.C.§1692d;
  - d. Causing Plaintiff's telephone to ring repeatedly or continuously with the intent to harass, annoy or abuse Plaintiff, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692d(5);
  - e. Using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692f;
  - f. Failing to send written correspondence to Plaintiff within five (5) days of its initial communication with him advising Plaintiff of the amount of debt, name of creditor, and his rights to dispute the debt and/or request verification of the debt, in violation of 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a); and

g. By acting in an otherwise deceptive, unfair and unconscionable manner and failing to comply with the FDCPA.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, DOUGLAS S. CORNWELL, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutor y damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, DOUGLAS S. CORNWELL, demands a jury trial in this case.

25

DATED: 6-30-11

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By:

Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney ID # 57100

Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike

Ambler, PA 19002 Phone: (215) 540-8888

Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com